

Introduction

- The Pigeon River flows out of Haywood County, North Carolina into Cocke County, Tennessee
- Drains into the French Broad River near Newport, TN
- 69.5 miles in length
- 5th order stream



Introduction

- 1908 Champion International began operation on paper mill
- Chlorine bleaching process used to process wood
- Toxic byproducts were dumped directly into the Pigeon River
 - Dioxins, furans, and chloroform
- 1964 NC Wildlife Resources Commission found the upper portion of Pigeon so polluted that it supported NO fish or mollusk species
 - Historically supported approximately 95 species of native fish and 40 species of mollusks

Introduction

- IBI (Index of Biotic Integrity) metrics, such as number of native, darter, and intolerent fish species, are used to assess the health of rivers and streams
- Pigeon River IBI scores were 38 points (or less) out of a possible 60 until 1993
- 1992 Chlorine bleaching process at the paper mill was replaced, virtually eliminating toxic chemicals dumped into Pigeon

 Chlorine dioxide and oxygen delignification system
- IBI scores have steadily improved since, with a
- score of **54 out of 60** in 2007

Justification for Research

- In depth fish and benthic invertebrate surveys have been conducted for the Pigeon River
- "Atlas of Amphibians in Tennessee" by Redmond & Scott documents species in Cocke Co. but no records exist for Pigeon
- Know dioxins have eliminated all fish species historically but effect on salamander diversity is unknown

Justification for Research

- Dioxins act as an endocrine disrupter and can cause abnormal development
- Dioxins are known to accumulate in body fat of amphibians and resist biological breakdown
- Aquatic salamanders and eggs/larvae of terrestrial salamanders are exposed to sediments containing these contaminants

Has the historical release of dioxins from the Canton paper mill decreased salamander species diversity?

Research Objectives

- Conduct aquatic surveys of Pigeon River, the bypass portion of the Pigeon, and 2 tributaries to identify and compare aquatic salamander species
- 2) Conduct terrestrial surveys to identify terrestrial salamander species that utilize streams for reproduction and development
- Test water quality at each site to accurately compare species composition

Proposed Methods

- Each stream will have 5 aquatic sampling sites at least 100 m apart
- All sites at each stream will be sampled once per month for 3 months (May, June & July 2008)
- Preliminary survey in May 2008 to determine which tributaries will be sampled for research
- Selection will depend on flow levels, easy of access, and suitable habitat
- Possible tributaries for sampling
 - Johnathans Creek
 - Fines Creek
 - Hurricane Creek

Proposed Methods

Objective 1: Conduct aquatic surveys of Pigeon River, the bypass portion of the Pigeon, and 2 tributaries to identify and compare aquatic salamander species

- Area constrained search (50 m)
- Snorkel surveys flipping large rocks
- Capture salamander and place in mesh bag to be processed
- Measure SVL (snout-vent length), total length, weight, and determine sex and species for each individual captured
- Return individual to location found to minimize stress





Proposed Methods

Objective 2: Conduct terrestrial surveys to identify terrestrial salamander species that utilize streams for reproduction and development 5 m

- Belt transects from shoreline corresponding with each aquatic sample site
 5 m wide and 50 m long
- Area constrained search
- Transect on each side of stream treated individually



Proposed Methods

Objective 2: Conduct terrestrial surveys to identify terrestrial salamander species that utilize streams for reproduction and development

- Turn rocks and logs; sort through leaf litter
- Capture salamander and place in plastic container to be processed
- Measure SVL (snout-vent length), total length, weight, and determine sex and species for each individual captured
- Return individual to location found to minimize stress

Proposed Methods

Objective 3: Obtain water quality measurements to determine if differences in species found between sites is a result of water quality

- Record pH, dissolved oxygen and temperature at each site on each visit
- Remove salamanders during aquatic sampling for dioxin testing
- Compare dioxin levels in water measured by TWRA with historical and current salamander species composition



Acknowledgements

- UT Department of Forestry, Wildlife and Fisheries
- Dr. Larry Wilson
- Dr. Matthew Gray





