

Science & Society Managing Amphibian Disease with Skin Microbiota

Douglas C. Woodhams,^{1,*} Molly Bletz.² Jordan Kueneman,³ and Valerie McKenzie³

contribution of The emerging amphibian diseases to the sixth mass extinction is driving innovative wildlife management strategies, including the use of probiotics. Bioaugmentation of the skin mucosome, a dynamic environment including host and microbial components, may not provide a generalized solution. Multi-omics technologies and ecological context underlie effective implementation.

Global population declines and extinctions of over 200 amphibian species have been linked to the fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd). The hydrophilic chytrid fungus infects amphibian skin, causing the disease chytridiomycosis. Recent work puts forth the hypothesis that a hypervirulent Global Pandemic Lineage of Bd (Bd-GPL) may have emerged, in part, as a result of hybridization among disparate Bd genotypes brought into contact by human movement of amphibians [1], and that this lineage is associated with global amphibian declines. A newly described salamander chytrid, Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans (Bsal), is causing recent declines in Europe and threatens both other European salamander populations and the highly diverse North American salamanders. Evidence again points toward human-facilitated transcontinental movement of animals in spreading Bsal to a new geographic region of susceptible amphibians [2]. In addition to proposed trade restrictions of new tools for disease management is urgently needed.

There are a variety of disease management strategies, including removal of disease reservoirs (e.q., Bd-tolerant, invasive amphibians), habitat alteration by reducing canopy cover to increase basking opportunities, management of zoospore micro-predators, and fungicide applications. However, many of these strategies are either not feasible or are not effectual in amphibian wetland habitats, particularly in remote and pristine regions. A relatively new strategy harnesses the natural enemies of fungal pathogens, which reside right on the amphibians' skin as symbiotic microbiota, that are capable of inhibiting pathogen colonization and establishment. Thus, we consider this approach to be a novel application of probiotics or beneficial bacteria that provide pathogen defense for the amphibian host [3]. We have recently produced a database of such beneficial bacteria with a corresponding culture collection maintained at UMass Boston and the Culture Collection of Switzerland [4]. Most of these bacteria were tested in co-culture assays against Bd, and some have been used successfully to promote disease resistance when applied to frog and salamander skin [3].

The mechanisms by which bacteria (and other symbionts, including viruses, fungi, and protozoans) are able to promote disease resistance are not well known. Some bacteria are able to promote host immune defenses, and others compete with invading pathogens directly. Direct competition among microbes often leads to secreted toxins, such as small antibiotic molecules. In several cases, Bd inhibition was associated with the production of bacterial secondary metabolites. For example, Pseudomonas fluorescens produces the compound 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (DAPG) and Janthinobacterium lividum produces indole-3-carboxaldehyde (I3C)

and containment of Bsal, development and violacein, all of which can inhibit Bd arowth [3].

> The production of bacteriocins and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), while less explored, may also be responsible for bacterial inhibition of Bd. Bacteriocins are a group of antimicrobial peptides produced by bacteria and archaea. In human systems, bacteriocins produced by resident bacteria are known to have a bacterial pathogen-inhibiting function [5], and some bacteriocins are antifungal. The same could be true for amphibians' bacterial symbionts; however, this remains unexplored. In addition to the use of the bacteriocin-producing bacteria as probiotics for amphibians, purified bacteriocins (e.g., pheromonicins [6]) could also be used to treat Bd infection, especially in captive situations, and may be safer than traditional antifungal compounds for amphibians. Currently, itraconazole is the best antifungal treatment option for amphibians when used correctly. Antifungal treatments include potential side effects and negative impacts on non-target microbiota.

> In addition to antibiotic production and antifungal activity when in co-culture [4], some bacteria appear to have long-distance effects facilitated by VOCs. Here we show that bacteria confined to one side of a split-Petri plate are able to inhibit Bd growth on the other side of the plate, without physical contact (Figure 1). Thus, we suspect that aerosolized VOCs inhibit fungal growth. Indeed, the botany literature has many examples of soil bacteria that are able to promote plant health and limit fungal disease by VOC production. For example, some Pseudomonas bacteria produce compounds, including phloroglucinols and hydrogen cyanide, that suppress plant disease [7]. Multiple soil bacteria with VOC-mediated antifungal activity [8] have been found on amphibians' skin. Similarly, researchers studying Pseudogymnoascus destructans, the etiological agent of white-nose syndrome in bats, have recently proposed using

Trends in Microbiology

CelPress



Trends in Microbiology

Figure 1. Amphibian Skin Bacteria Producing Volatile Antifungal Compounds. Preliminary data show that amphibian skin bacteria produce aerosol volatile compounds capable of inhibiting growth of the fungal pathogen Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd). Top: Split-Petri dishes (VWR) show a bacterium on the bottom half of the left plate isolated from a southern leopard frog, Lithobates sphenocephala, (Rhodococcus fascians matching GenBank accession number HG796188) and no growth of Bd (top half of plate), and control (right plate) with abundant Bd growth. Note that secondary metabolites from R. fascians collected in liquid media did not inhibit Bd. The antifungal volatile organic compounds (VOCs) released from the bacteria are under investigation and may lead to disease-management strategies to improve amphibian conservation. Hydrogen cyanide (HCN) production was not indicated based on Cyantesmo strip tests (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co.), but was indicated in a similarly tested *Pseudomonas* isolate from Colombia (VF35). Bottom: We quantified the area of Bd growth in split-Petri dish experiments with VOC-producing antifungal isolates from amphibian skin compared to control growth (experimental design adapted from [8], artificially colored red area calculated with ImageJ, statistics with IBM SPSS Statistics v.23). R. fascians completely inhibited Bd. Inhibition of Bd by isolates producing VOCs is included in updates to the Antifungal Isolates Database (4).

cus) in hibernation caves to kill spores and

VOC-producing soil bacteria (Rhodococ- amphibians for the production of antifungal compounds identified in cultures, and reduce the fungal pathogen [9]. In vivo to test treatment of infected amphibians. studies are needed to examine live Perhaps VOC-producing bacteria can be While not a core member, J. lividum has

deployed at amphibian-rich habitats (i.e., breeding sites) to reduce the zoospore pool, thereby facilitating population persistence. Instead of the stench of disease. recollecting miasma theory, perhaps host odors can signal the presence of antifungal microbial defenses and contribute to behavioral ecology and sexual selection of resistant hosts. In other words, frogs may be able to smell VOC-producing microbiota, and if odor is linked with disease resistance, protected partners may have a selective advantage in mate choice.

The goal of microbial therapy is to increase survival of amphibians through augmenting skin microbes that inhibit pathogens directly or indirectly by promoting host immunity. Probiotic bioaugmentation may lead to herd immunity in amphibian populations or communities [3]. The ecological context and biotic resistance to probiotics - climate, host immune response, and microbial community composition or diversity - will shape their effects [10]. A primary criterion for an effective probiotic is the ability to colonize and persist on the amphibian's skin at an abundance high enough to achieve host protection [3]. For some species this has been a major obstacle, such as the extinct (in the wild) Panamanian golden frog, Atelopus zeteki [11] or the Panamanian rocket frog, Colostethus panamansis [12]. In a number of other systems, microbial communities become established early in development, even in the human womb [13]. Thus, probiotics applied early in development may colonize open niches and establish in concert with host immunity. We found that some amphibian skinassociated bacteria can persist through life-history transitions from aquatic larvae through terrestrial adult stages (Figure 2, data from [14]). Identification of core antifungal community members, and augmentation of these members early in development, may prove effective. An antifungal Chryseobacterium sp. was one core member found across life stages.

CellPress



Trends in Microbiology

Figure 2. Prevalent Skin Bacteria Persisting through Life-history. The bacteria found on the skin of boreal toad, *Anaxyrus boreas*, aquatic tadpoles, recent metamorphs, and terrestrial juveniles and adults demonstrate a shifting community with a significant overlap in membership. The Venn diagram includes numbers of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) found in \geq 90% of individuals in each life-history stage (n = 8 tadpoles, 8 metamophs, and 16 juveniles/adults) field-sampled concurrently at Trout creek, Colorado. For example, in the tadpole lifestage, there are 27 core bacterial OTUs that are only found on that lifestage, 5 core OTUs are found on both tadpoles and metamorphs, and 18 core OTUs are found on both tadpoles and adults. Seventeen core bacterial OTUs are found across all boreal toad life stages including a *Chryseobacterium* sp. identified in culture with anti-*Bd* metabolite production. Details of the study are found in [14], and indicate persistant potential probiotics closely associated with the host. Photos from D. Brewbooks and J. N. Stuart.

violacein-producing antifungal strains that can reduce mortality from chytridiomycosis, and is occasionally present on tadpoles. Thus, augmentation of J. lividum at the tadpole stage by probiotics or prebiotics (nutrients favoring beneficial bacteria) may prove effective. Furthermore, in one study, Escherichia coli was engineered to produce violacein [15], and common amphibian skin bacteria could be similarly manipulated, leading to more consistent probiotic establishment on hosts. Biotic resistance theory may not apply perfectly to microbiota in understanding colonization resistance because therapies avoid introducing novel organisms into naïve populations and there are both host (environmental) and microbial (competition) factors. That said, microbial diversity and composition may have an understudied influence on probiotic effectiveness.

The current focus on individual bacteria as probiotics to mitigate chytridiomycosis is likely over-simplistic. The use of multispecies probiotics or whole-community transplants [12] are avenues for future exploration. Additionally, multiomics approaches that integrate functional aspects of interacting microbial communities [14], metagenomic descriptions of the microbial communities, and metabolomic descriptions of the active mucosal compounds have great potential to advance this field. For example, network analyses used by Kueneman et al. [14] show that some bacterial groups, including the Burkholderiales

and Pseudomonadales, negatively cooccur with fungi. These correlational analyses, along with culture and in vivo studies incorporating the ecological context of the mucosome, help focus probiotic development. One frontier is the identification of bacterial genes associated with antifungal function. Antifungal genes are unlikely to be expressed consistently under common culture conditions, and isolate identification by 16S rRNA gene sequencing is not necessarily a reliable indication of the antifungal capacity. Whole genome sequencing may thus lead to better diagnostics of antifungal function of microbial communities.

In addition to developing conservation strategies, future studies may also be directed toward testing ecological theory. For example, to what extent are hosts and microbiota co-evolved? Is the microbiota heritable? To what extent are recovering amphibian populations adapting to *Bd* by changes in microbiota or microbial-immune interactions? Are there ecological trade-offs between a microbiota-based defense and a host immune system defense? Can microbial VOCs signal the immune condition of hosts, or affect behavior of conspecific hosts?

In addition to these research questions, a common bioethical concern of conservation biologists is: What are the ecological consequences of probiotic applications, particularly in sensitive habitats with other endangered wildlife species? This concern is balanced by the consequence of no action and continuing population losses. Current standards for ecological use of bioaugmentation strategies include: (i) the use of native microbes only, perhaps cultured from the skin of an amphibian coexisting with Bd. The host may either tolerate low-level infection, or resist infection [3]. (ii) Tailored management within systems should also examine non-target effects on aquatic and soil microbes, and the microbiota of non-target hosts. Furthermore, there are many potential

applications of probiotic approaches to amphibians in aquaculture systems and the pet-trade that could have a significant impact toward reducing the spread of fungal pathogens via these trade routes. Novel tools that target the pathogen but limit toxicity to the host, such as probiotics, are sorely needed in these sectors. While there is much to learn in the field of wildlife microbial ecology, probiotics hold great potential for directed disease management and conservation intervention.

Acknowledgments

We thank Brandon LaBumbard and Kate Dutton-Regester for assistance with VOC experiments, Kevin Minbiole and Eric Baitchman for helpful discussion, and Vicky Flechas, Whitney Holden and Louise Rollins-Smith for bacterial cultures. DCW was supported by the UMass Boston Endowed Faculty Career Development Award, and VJM was supported by U.S. NSF grant (DEB:1146284).

¹Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, MA, USA ²Zoological Institute, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Braunschweig, Germany ³Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA

*Correspondence: dwoodhams@gmail.com (D.C. Woodhams).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2015.12.010

References

- Schloegel, L.M. et al. (2012) Novel, panzootic and hybrid genotypes of amphibian chytridiomycosis associated with the bullfrog trade. Mol. Ecol. 21, 5162–5177
- Martel, A.A. et al. (2014) Recent introduction of a chytrid fungus endangers Western Palearctic salamanders. Science 346, 630–631
- Bletz, M.C. et al. (2013) Mitigating amphibian chytridiomycosis with bioaugmentation: characteristics of effective probiotics and strategies for their selection and use. Ecol. Lett. 16, 807–820
- Woodhams, D.C. *et al.* (2015) Antifungual isolates database of amphibian skin-associated bacteria and function against emerging fungal pathogens. *Ecology* 96, 595
- Dobson, A. et al. (2012) Bacteriocin production: A probiotic trait? Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78, 1–6
- Qiu, X-Q. *et al.* (2015) Pheromonicins, an ecologically sound family of bacteriocin-based antibiotics for use in the age of the microbiome. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 1969– 1979
- Ramette, A. et al. (2003) Prevalence of fluorescent pseudomonads producing antifungal phloroglucinols and/or hydrogen cyanide in soils naturally suppressive or conducive to tobacco black root rot. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 44, 35–43
- Hol, W.G. et al. (2015) Non-random species loss in bacterial communities reduces antifungal volatile production. *Ecol*ogy 96, 2042–2048
- Rogers, N. (2015) Bacteria may help bats to fight fungus. Nature 522, 400–401

- Woodhams, D.C. et al. (2014) Interacting symbionts and immunity in the amphibian skin mucosome predict disease risk and probiotic effectiveness. PLoS ONE 9, e87101
- Becker, M.H. *et al.* (2011) Towards a better understanding of the use of probiotics for preventing chytridiomycosis in Panamanian golden frogs. *Ecohealth* 8, 501–506
- Küng, D. et al. (2014) Stability of microbiota facilitated by host immune regulation: informing probiotic strategies to manage amphibian disease. PLoS ONE 9, e87101
- Moles, L. et al. (2013) Bacterial diversity in meconium of preterm neonates and evolution of their fecal microbiota during the first month of life. PLoS ONE 8, e66986
- Kueneman, J.G. et al. (2015) Inhibitory bacteria reduce fungi on early life stages of endangered Colorado boreal toads (*Anaxyrus boreas*). *ISME J.* Published online November 13, 2015. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.168
- Choi, S.Y. et al. (2015) Violacein: Properties and production of a versatile bacterial pigment. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2015, 465056

Spotlight HIV-1 Envelope Under Attack

Wei Wei^{1,2} and Xiao-Fang Yu^{1,2,*}

The human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) envelope (Env) plays a critical role in viral replication and represents a potential target for host antiviral factors. Recent work by Tada and colleagues identifies membraneassociated-RING-CH8 (MARCH8) as a potent anti-HIV factor blocking virion incorporation of Env. Thus, MARCH8 joins a growing list of host factors attacking HIV-1 Env.

The HIV-1 Env glycoprotein plays critical roles in viral replication, tropism, and pathogenesis. Env is first synthesized as a polyprotein, gp160, and is subsequently cleaved by cellular proteases in the Golgi apparatus into gp120 and gp41. The proper synthesis, modification, folding, cleavage, cell surface targeting, and virion incorporation of Env are all essential steps in the generation of infectious HIV-1 particles. Consequently, these steps are all vulnerable targets for attack by host defense factors (Figure 1). A recent study by Tada and colleagues, published in Nature Medicine, has illustrated the importance of this concept of host defense against HIV-1 Env [1]. These authors identify MARCH8 as a novel antiviral factor suppressing HIV-1 Env surface expression and virion incorporation. Like many scientific discoveries, their finding came from an unexpected observation: that the transduction efficiency of lentiviruses produced from MARCH8expressing cells was 65-fold lower than that of control lentiviruses, suggesting an antiviral role for this protein. Tada and colleagues demonstrated, in a logical series of experiments, that MARCH8 is a novel antiviral factor against diverse viruses in virus-producing cells. MARCH8 expression in target cells showed no effect on viral infectivity, indicating that its antiviral activity is the result of its expression in the producer cells. Also, MARCH8 expression in producer cells did not influence the production of HIV-1 virions, as monitored by viral Gag proteins. The blockage in the infection of the viruses produced from MARCH8-expressing cells occurred prior to reverse transcription and integration, and in fact was due to a marked defect in viral entry. Finally, detailed analysis of released viral particles revealed that the level of Env proteins on HIV-1 virions produced from MARCH8-expressing cells was dramatically decreased.

MARCH8 is a member of the MARCH family of RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligases and is mainly found in endosomes. lysosomes, and vacuoles, but also on the plasma membrane. MARCH8 induces the ubiquitylation of substrate proteins and regulates their vesicular transport between membrane compartments. Cell-surface expression of several cellular glycoproteins is specifically downregulated by MARCH8 [2]. The anti-HIV-1 activity of MARCH8 is dependent on its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity. As a potential viral restriction factor, MARCH8 is highly expressed in monocyte-derived macrophages (MDM) and dendritic cells (MDDC). The expression of endogenous

CellPress