

Conservation and Management of Amphibian Populations



Matthew J. Gray, Ph.D.
College of Agricultural Sciences and
Natural Resources
University of Tennessee-Knoxville



Goal of the Lecture

To familiarize students with some conservation and management strategies for amphibians.

Reading Assignments:

No Required Readings

Recommended:

1. Habitat Management Guidelines for Amphibians and Reptiles of the Southeastern United States: PARC: http://www.parcplace.org/habitat_management_guide.html
2. Recommendations for Riparian Buffers: Salamanders (Crawford and Semlitsch 2007)
3. Recommendations for Wetland Buffers: Amphibians and Reptiles (Semlitsch and Bodie 2003)

Lecture Structure

I. Aquatic and Terrestrial Habitat Needs

II. Aquatic Environment Strategies

III. Terrestrial Environment Strategies

IV. Wetland Buffers, Small Wetlands, and Roads

Aquatic and Terrestrial Needs



Aquatic Environment

Life Cycle:

- Breeding Habitat: Anurans & Salamanders
- Eggs, Embryos & Larvae
- Overwintering Sites

Concerns:

- Shoreline Vegetation
- Hydroperiod (2-3 mo)
- Water Quality & Temp
- Fish
- Introduced Species



What can we do to ensure habitat needs are met?



Terrestrial Environment

Life Cycle:

- Breeding Habitat: Salamanders
- Juvenile & Adult Foraging Sites
- Overwintering & Estivation Sites
- Dispersal, Migration, Home Range

Concerns:

- Intact Vegetation
- Decomposing Logs
- Abundant Insects
- Dispersal Corridors: Connectivity

Temperature, Humidity, Predators

Conservation and Management


Aquatic Environment: Shoreline Vegetation

- Minimize Access by Cattle**
 - Electric Fence
 - > Feb-August
 - Grazing Rotation
 - > <1 month
 - Needs to be Tested
 - > <30 head/ha wetland
 - Provide Water Troughs


Schmutzer et al. (2008); Burton et al. (2009)
- Constructed Wetlands**
 - Gradual slope
- Control Exotic Plants**
 - Herbicides
 - 2,4-D: Broad-leaved (AquaKleen)
 - Glyphosate: Non-selective (Rodeo)
 - Imazapyr: Invasive Exotics (Habitat)
 - Biological Control


Conservation and Management

Aquatic Environment: Hydroperiod

- Plug Ditch or Drain Tile**

- Managed Wetlands:**
 - February-August
 - Multiple Species: Amphibians, Waterbirds

- Provide Diverse Hydroperiods/Wetlands**

Copeia 1999:101-113, Conservation Biology 14:414-419

 - Wetland complex: > Ephemeral & Permanent


Joel Snodgrass
- Gradual Drawdowns** (>2 weeks)
 


Conservation and Management

Aquatic Environment: **Water Quality**

34-42 C
93-104 F

- 1) Minimize Agricultural Chemicals**
 - Pesticides, Herbicides, Fertilizers
 - Apply following Manufacture Recommendations
 - ➔ **Chemical Mixtures**
 - Establish >15 m Buffer (Needs to be Tested)
- 2) Maximize Dissolved Oxygen**
 - Minimize Eutrophication
 - Minimize Cattle Access
 - Minimize Fertilizers (0.5, 2, 30 mg/L)
 - Managed: Flush with oxygenated water
- 3) Prevent High Temperatures**
 - Maintain Adjacent Tree Cover
 - Maintain Shoreline Vegetation
- 4) Maintain Natural Flow (lotic)**
 - 20 C and >5 mg/L







Conservation and Management


Aquatic Environment: **Predators**

- 1) Minimize Predatory Fish Population**
 - All Fish: Eat Eggs
 - Tadpoles: Green Sunfish, Catfish, Bass, Trout
 - Adults: Catfish, Bass, Trout
- 2) Eliminate all Introduced Species**
 - Amphibians and Fish

Options

- Electroshock or Seine Fish, Capture or Gig Frogs
- Fish Kill with Rotenone (early fall – winter)

- ✓ Breaks down Rapidly (<1 month)
- ✓ Closed System
- ✓ Potassium Permanganate (KMnO₄; 1:1)



Mow shoreline vegetation

Purpose	Number acre-feet treated with 1 gallon (5%) (1 x 10 chains)	Concentration (ppm)	
		Active rotenone	5% Formulation
Normal pond renovation; no bullheads, carp, bowfin, etc.	6.0 - 3.0	0.025 - 0.050	0.50 - 1.0
Ponds with carp or bullheads	3.0 - 1.5	0.050 - 0.10	1.0 - 2.0
Ponds with bowfin, gar, largemouth bass	1.5 - 1.0 (possibly 2 applications)	0.10 - 0.150	2.0 - 3.0

Conservation and Management

Terrestrial Environment

- 1) Limit Agriculture Near Wetlands**
 - Establish at least 100 m buffer
 - If haying, leave >30 cm standing stubble
 - No herbicide or insecticide in buffer
- 2) Perform Partial Cuts: Silviculture**
 - Under extensive investigation
- 3) Leave Slash and Decomposing Logs**
- 4) Minimize Soil Disturbance**
 - Drier, LP, Tire, Skid Trails
- 5) Promote Abundant Insects**
 - Restore Natural Fire Frequency
 - **Spring Burns** Best for Amphibis
 - Establish NWSGs in Grasslands
- 6) Maintain Dispersal Corridors**
 - Areas of Limited Disturbance
 - Aquatic and Terrestrial Sites
 - Spatially Disjunct Wetlands:
 - ➔ **Riparian Corridors**

LEAP Project
Semlitsch, Gibbons, Hunter, Gibbs, Rothermel



•Shelterwood Cut

- Cut Mature Trees: 50 ft²/ac BA
- Leave Large Shade (and seed) Producing Trees

Return 5-10 yrs

Buffers for Amphibians

Semlitsch and Bodie (2003)

1) Wetland Buffers

Core Wetland (140-200 m)
Aquatic Buffer (10 m)
Core Habitat (140-200 m)
Terrestrial Buffer (10 m)

Best Management Practices

- 15 m Buffer (10 m trees)
- Increase 0.75 m for every 1%

Inadequate to Cover Core Habitat

2) Riparian Buffers

Light Activity (<25% BA)

Aquatic Buffer (10-20 m)
Core Habitat (140-200 m)
Terrestrial Buffer (10 m)

Core Stream

Anurans, Newt >200m
Ambystomatids 125 m
Plethodontids <30 m

Terrestrial Habitat Use

159-290 m

I. Aquatic: Water
II. Core: Terrestrial Habitat
III. Buffer

Ideal: 340 m

Importance of Small Wetlands

Gibbs (1993) and Semlitsch and Bodie (1998)

Gibbs (1993): Maine

- Loss of wetlands: < 4 ha
- Wetland area decreased by **19%**
- Interwetland distance increased by **67%**

Semlitsch and Bodie (1998): SC

- Loss of wetlands: < 4 ha and 1.2 ha
- Interwetland Distance:
 - 1.2 ha: increased **43%** (195 m)
 - 4 ha: increased **136%** (641 m)
- Decreases Probability of Dispersal
- Detrimental to Rescue Effect: Sinks
- Small wetlands can be **sources**
- Small wetlands can be **specious**

Rainbow Bay (0.5 ha): 16 yr study
>13,500 metamorphs / yr
>27 amphibian species

Current Wetland Regulations:

- Tulloch Rule Overturned: Dredging Wetlands is Legal
- SWANCC Decision: Isolated Wetlands Not Protected

Effects of Roads

Conservation Biology 19:2004-2008 and 21:159-167, Biological Conservation 73:177-182, Herpetologica 60:45-53, Amphibia-Reptilia 28:25-31

1) Direct Mortality

- 2-18% Mortality Rates
- Mortality rate of some species increases with traffic intensity (toads)
- Distance to Wetland is the Best Predictor of Mortality Rate
- Low Intensity Impacts: 5-26 cars / hr

3) Habitat Fragmentation

- Forest Roads: Deflected Movement **51%** of time
- Type was Unimportant

2) Habitat Destruction

- Loss of Wetlands
- Loss of Suitable Terrestrial Habitat

Reduced Habitat 1/3

- > Road-effect Zone: 35 m
- > Maintained & Abandoned

Maintain Only Necessary Forest Roads; Replant Others

4) Petroleum Runoff

5) Acoustic Interference

- Calling rate decreases at wetlands near roads

A Possible "Benefit"

Ecological Trap

Mitigating Road Effects

Monitor Migration & Population Size

Determine Mortality Rate

ACO Polymer Products, Inc.

16" in height (taller for snakes)

1) Drift Fence Diversion

2) Culverts

3) Signs

Polymer Concrete

www.acousa.com

De-extinction

► The hot-topic of conservation

► Uses high tech solutions to bring species back from extinction

► No solid successes, but enough to show it's feasible, likely in the next few years

► Amphibians are at the forefront of this research area

- Gastric Brooding Frog from Australia
- Recently, implanted nuclei into eggs, got cell division (embryo survived 36 hrs)

• <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2013-03-16/bizarre-winct-frog-brought-back-to-life/4575916>

TEDx DeExtinction

NATIONAL GEOGRAPHIC REVIVING EXTINCT SPECIES

De-extinction Discussion

- Initial thoughts? Gut reactions?
- Ethics: should scientists bring back extinct species?
- Will viable populations be created?
- If de-extinction projects are successful, do you think this will affect on the ground conservation strategies or priorities?
