LETTERS

Parental investment by skin feeding in a caecilian amphibian

Alexander Kupfer¹, Hendrik Müller^{1,2}, Marta M. Antoniazzi³, Carlos Jared³, Hartmut Greven⁴, Ronald A. Nussbaum⁵ & Mark Wilkinson¹

Although the initial growth and development of most multicellular animals depends on the provision of yolk, there are many varied contrivances by which animals provide additional or alternative investment in their offspring¹. Providing offspring with additional nutrition should be favoured by natural selection when the consequent increased fitness of the young offsets any corresponding reduction in fecundity². Alternative forms of nutrition may allow parents to delay and potentially redirect their investment. Here we report a remarkable form of parental care and mechanism of parent-offspring nutrient transfer in a caecilian amphibian. Boulengerula taitanus is a direct-developing, oviparous caecilian³, the skin of which is transformed in brooding females to provide a rich supply of nutrients for the developing offspring. Young animals are equipped with a specialized dentition, which they use to peel and eat the outer layer of their mother's modified skin. This new form of parental care provides a plausible intermediate stage in the evolution of viviparity in caecilians. At independence, offspring of viviparous and of oviparous dermatotrophic caecilians are relatively large despite being provided with relatively little yolk. The specialized dentition of skin-feeding (dermatophagous) caecilians may constitute a preadaptation to the fetal feeding on the oviduct lining of viviparous caecilians.

Amphibians are renowned for their diverse forms of parental investment, including hiding, guarding, transporting or feeding their offspring^{4,5}. The reproductive diversity of the tropical caecilian amphibians is more poorly known than that of salamanders and frogs, although it is known to include both oviparity, with an aquatic larva or direct development, and viviparity. Viviparous caecilians are unusual in having a specialized, deciduous, fetal dentition^{6,7} which is thought to be used to scrape lipid-rich secretions and cellular materials from the hypertrophied lining of the maternal oviduct^{6,8–11}. In contrast, it is generally thought that oviparous caecilians provision their offspring only with yolk, with additional investment limited to the attendance of egg clutches¹².

In the 1990s we discovered teeth in hatchlings of the oviparous Neotropical caecilian *Siphonops annulatus* that resemble more the fetal teeth of viviparous caecilians than the teeth of adults of this species¹³. Field observations revealed that hatchlings are altricial and remain with their mothers until they have grown substantially. Mothers also have a paler skin colour than non-attending adults. Speculating on these observations, we proposed that the fetal-like dentition of newborn *S. annulatus* is used to feed on glandular secretions of the mother's skin¹⁴, in a manner analogous to that of mammalian lactation. Here we report detailed observations of *Boulengerula taitanus*, another oviparous³ caecilian species that has

altricial¹⁵ young (see Fig. 1a) equipped with 'fetal-like' teeth, including observations of several bouts of feeding. Rather than scraping up skin secretions, the young of *B. taitanus* use their teeth to peel and eat the specially modified skin of their mothers.

Twenty-one females of the Kenyan caecilian *B. taitanus*, with broods of between two and nine young, were collected from subterranean nest sites and maintained and observed in captivity. Eight episodes of skin feeding by different young from five different broods were observed, and five were filmed (see Fig. 1b, and Supplementary movies 1 and 2). In each episode, the young moved over and around their mother's bodies, vigorously pressing their heads against their mothers while repeatedly opening and closing their mouths, and using their lower jaws in particular to lift and peel the outer layer of the mother's skin.

During one week of maternal care the young increased their total length substantially (about 11%; Fig. 1c) with average individual growth estimated to be about 1 mm per day. No alternative feeding of



Figure 1 | **Skin feeding in** *B. taitanus.* **a**, Female with unpigmented young. **b**, Various stills from video footage of a young animal peeling and eating the outermost layer of its mother's skin. **c**, Changes in mean total length (n = 66, P < 0.001; *t*-test) of young (top) and mean body mass (n = 15, P < 0.001; paired *t*-test) of mothers (bottom) between a first (1) and a second (2) measurement after one week of parental care. Error bars show s.e.m.

¹Department of Zoology, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK. ²Institute of Biology, Leiden University, Kaiserstraat 63, 2311 GP, Leiden, The Netherlands. ³Laboratório de Biologia Celular, Instituto Butantan, Av. Vital Brasil 1500, 05503-900, São Paulo, Brazil. ⁴Institut für Zoomorphologie und Zellbiologie der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätstrasse, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany. ⁵Museum of Zoology and Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA.

young was observed, and the stomachs of control young preserved in the field immediately on collection contained only monolayers of skin, indicating that ingested skin alone provides sufficient nutrients for the considerable growth observed. Maternal weight loss over the same period (about 14%; Fig. 1c) is consistent with skin feeding imposing a high cost on mothers.

Most attending females of *B. taitanus* were notably paler than nonattending adults, reflecting differences at cellular and tissue levels associated with the skin's role in nutrition (Fig. 2). The outermost layer of the epidermis, the stratum corneum, typically comprises squamous (flattened), keratinized cells (Fig. 2a), whereas in brooding females the cells are far more voluminous and full of vesicles (Fig. 2b). Overall, the epidermis of brooding females is up to twice the thickness of that of non-brooding females, as a result of elongation of the stratified epithelial cells rather than any increase in numbers of cells. Histochemistry reveals that, unlike in non-brooding females, the cytoplasm of modified epidermal cells of brooding females is full of lipid inclusions (staining positive for sudan black B). Tests for carbohydrate (alcian blue and periodic acid–Schiff) were negative. The stratum corneum is also rich in protein (staining positive for bromophenol blue) in both brooding and non-brooding females.

Adult *B. taitanus* are predators and have two rows of pointed teeth in the upper (premaxillary–maxillary and vomeropalatine) and in the lower (dentary and splenial) jaws, with either one (Fig. 3a) or two (a labial and more apical lingual) distinct cusps (Fig. 3b)³. Whereas tooth crowns of larval indirect-developing caecilians resemble those



Figure 2 | Comparison of the skin of non-brooding and brooding female *B. taitanus*, showing differences in skin colour, structure and histochemistry. a, Non-brooding; b, brooding. Sections on the left were stained with haemotoxylin and eosin; those on the right were stained (dark) for lipids with sudan black. E, epidermis; D, dermis; Sc, stratum corneum. Scale bars, $50 \,\mu$ m.

of adults, those of the dermatophagous young of *B. taitanus* are remarkably divergent and variable (Fig. 3c–f). Bicuspid splenial teeth are present but not yet erupted. The vomeropalatine teeth and the anteriormost three or four teeth of the premaxillary–maxillary and the dentary series are monocuspid. The remaining teeth are multicusped, combining a pronounced blade-like labial cusp with a lingual cusp that has two or three subsidiary cusps (Fig. 3d), which may be short and blunt (Fig. 3e) or have more elongate and pointed processes resembling a grappling hook (Fig. 3f). Hatchling *B. taitanus* (total length about 28 mm) have several other unusual characteristics, seemingly associated with their altriciality. The skull and axial skeleton are mostly poorly ossified in comparison with hatchlings of direct-developing species¹⁶, and the body musculature and associated external annulation are weakly developed, severely constraining mobility. By the time they become independent of their mothers



Figure 3 | Dentition of adult and young *B. taitanus.* a, Anterior view of two monocuspid, adult premaxillary teeth. b, Labial view of three bicuspid, adult vomerine teeth. c, Lateral view of a lower jaw of a young specimen (total length 69 mm), showing different dentary tooth crown morphologies.
d, Labial view of a posterior dentary tooth of this young specimen.
e, Anterior premaxillary tooth of the same specimen. f, Anterior premaxillary tooth of a smaller specimen (total length 57 mm) resembling a grappling hook. Scale bars, 30 μm.

(total length about 86 mm), young resemble miniature adults in these features.

Dermatotrophy, as seen in B. taitanus, is a highly unusual mode of parental care previously unknown in tetrapods, in which nutrient provisioning involves remarkable adaptations of both the mothers and the young. Many vertebrates periodically shed their stratum corneum and some, including caecilians, eat and recycle nutrients from their own shed skin (autodermatophagy)¹⁷. In contrast, the altricial young of B. taitanus depend for a time entirely on their mother's skin, which is suitably transformed to provide nutrient that, like mammalian milk, is rich in lipids. Amphibian skin is well known for its diverse functions^{18,19}, and its role in *B. taitanus* can be presumed to impose constraints upon other normal functions. For example, dermal granular glands are frequently associated with toxic secretions with a defensive function in amphibians¹⁹, and some downregulation of toxins during skin feeding might be expected. Aggressive dermatophagy could injure the mother, and we might also expect the periodic bouts of feeding to be more or less synchronized with the maternal sloughing cycle, which may itself be modified, and to involve some signalling between parent and offspring. There is clearly scope for both parent-offspring conflict and sibling competition where there is dermatotrophic parental care.

Oviparous caecilians were previously believed only to guard their eggs until hatching and to provide no subsequent parental care^{8,12}, similarly to Ichthyophis²⁰. This nutritional investment in offspring only in the form of yolk (lecithotrophy) is seen in all 'primitive' caecilians and is inferred to be the ancestral condition, with viviparity, and fetal feeding on the maternal oviduct lining (matrotrophy), being derived. Maternal dermatotrophy provides a highly plausible intermediate between these different reproductive modes. Current understanding of caecilian phylogeny²¹ indicates that viviparity must have evolved independently several times in caecilians, which implies striking and enigmatic convergent evolution of the associated fetal teeth. The discovery of fetal-like teeth in maternal dermatotrophic caecilians indicates that although viviparity is convergent in caecilians, one of its most distinctive features, fetal teeth, might not be. Fetal-like teeth are known also in some species of the oviparous Neotropical genera Siphonops and Caecilia^{7,13}, and the distribution of fetal and fetal-like teeth across viviparous and oviparous caecilians is consistent with their having a single origin and thus being homologous (Supplementary Information). This implies that the independently derived lineages of viviparous caecilians evolved from (possibly maternal dermatotrophic) ancestors that already possessed a specialized dentition that was preadapted to feeding in oviducts. This reconstruction and current estimates of divergence times²² indicates that fetal-like teeth evolved in the Mesozoic and that some form of skin feeding might have persisted in caecilians for at least 150 million years.

The use of fetal-like teeth in other oviparous caecilians that possess them, whether in maternal dermatotrophy as exemplified by *B. taitanus* or in some other kind of feeding, has not yet been documented. Newborns of the viviparous west African caecilian genus *Geotrypetes* are altricial and it has been speculated, but not shown, that they might feed on the maternal skin or its secretions^{14,23}. A single reported newborn of the viviparous east African caecilian genus *Scolecomorphus* has a peculiar oral morphology that might be associated with specialized feeding after parturition²⁴. Careful observation of these and other as yet unstudied caecilians may reveal additional forms of parental care that are plausible intermediates between, or might otherwise help to explain, the major evolutionary transitions in caecilian reproduction.

One potential advantage to feeding young rather than providing them with yolk alone, is that investment can be delayed and, if advantageous, redirected. Both maternal dermatotrophic and viviparous caecilians produce fewer, larger independent offspring than lecithotrophic caecilians (A.K., unpublished). Selection for larger offspring is proposed to have driven the evolution of extended parental care in salamanders⁵ and might have similarly driven the evolution of the peculiar derived life histories in caecilians.

In recent years, the known species diversity of amphibians has been steadily increasing, mainly as a result of biodiversity surveys in the tropics²⁵. At the same time there has been growing concern about apparently declining amphibian populations worldwide. Recently the Global Amphibian Assessment identified many data-deficient species (20%) and the urgent need for more information^{26,27}. Our discovery underscores the need for further studies to improve the documentation of the amazing diversity of amphibian life-history strategies and for greater efforts to conserve it.

METHODS

We studied the caecilian *B. taitanus* in the field in southeastern Kenya (Wundanyi, Taita hills, Taita–Taveta District). Most fieldwork was performed after the short rainy season (Vuli), in January 2004 and 2005 after preliminary fieldwork in January 1996. Field-collected females and their young were housed in small plastic boxes ($9 \text{ cm} \times 9 \text{ cm} \times 3.5 \text{ cm}$) containing earth moulded to resemble nests observed in the field. Observations were made daily from 06:00 to 09:00 and from 20:00 to 00:00. Behaviours were recorded with a digital video camera (Sony DCR-HC40E). The total length of young during parental care was measured to the nearest millimetre on plastic-coated graph paper. Female body mass was recorded with a digital balance.

Skin tissue of brooding and non-brooding females was fixed in buffered formalin and/or Bouin's fixative. Samples were embedded in accordance with standard procedures²⁸. Paraffin sections (6–8 μ m) were cut with a rotary microtome and stained with either haematoxylin/eosin, sudan black B, bromophenol blue, alcian blue pH 2.5 or periodic acid–Schiff.

Tooth morphology of young and adults was examined with a scanning electron microscope (Hitachi 2500 series). Samples were transferred through an acetone series and critical-point dried with carbon dioxide, mounted on aluminium stubs and sputter-coated with gold–palladium.

Received 28 September; accepted 7 November 2005.

- Clutton-Brock, T. H. The Evolution of Parental Care (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1991).
- Smith, C. C. & Fretwell, S. D. The optimal balance between size and number of offspring. Am. Nat. 108, 499–506 (1974).
- Nussbaum, R. A. & Hinkel, H. Revision of East African caecilians of the genera Afrocaecilia Taylor and Boulengerula Tornier (Amphibia: Gymnophiona: Caeciliaidae). Copeia 1994, 750–760 (1994).
- Lehtinen, R. M. & Nussbaum, R. A. in *Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny of* Anura (ed. Jamieson, B. G. M.) 343–386 (Science Publishers, Enfield, 2003).
- Nussbaum, R. A. in *Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny of Urodela* (ed. Sever, D. M.) 527–612 (Science Publishers, Enfield, 2003).
- Parker, H. W. Viviparous caecilians and amphibian phylogeny. *Nature* 178, 250–252 (1956).
- Parker, H. W. & Dunn, E. R. Dentitional metamorphosis in the Amphibia. Copeia 1964, 75–86 (1964).
- 8. Taylor, E. H. Caecilians of the World (Kansas Univ. Press, Lawrence, 1968).
- Welsch, U., Müller, M. & Schubert, C. Elektronenmikroskopische und histochemische Beobachtungen zur Fortpflanzungsbiologie viviparer Gymnophionen (*Chthonerpeton indistinctum*). *Zool. Jb. Anat.* 97, 532–549 (1977).
- Wake, M. H. & Dickie, R. Oviduct structure and function and reproductive modes in amphibians. J. Exp. Zool. 282, 477–506 (1998).
- Exbrayat, J.-M. Les Gymnophiones, ces curieux Amphibiens (Edition Boubée, Paris, 2000).
- 12. Himstedt, W. Die Blindwühlen (Westarp, Magdeburg, 1996).
- Wilkinson, M. & Nussbaum, R. A. Caecilian viviparity and amniote origins. J. Nat. Hist. 32, 1403–1409 (1998).
- Pennisi, E. Society for Integrative and Comparative Biology: meeting spotlights creatures great and small. *Science* 283, 623–625 (1999).
- Malonza, P. K. & Measey, G. J. Life history of an African caecilian: Boulengerula taitanus Loveridge 1935 (Amphibia Gymnophiona Caeciliidae). Trop. Zool. 18, 49–66 (2005).
- Müller, H., Oommen, O. V. & Bartsch, P. Skeletal development of the direct developing caecilian *Gegeneophis ramaswamii* (Amphibia: Gymnophiona: Caeciliidae). Zoomorphology 124, 171–188 (2005).
- Weldon, P. J., Demeter, B. J. & Rosscoe, R. A survey of shed skin-eating (dermatophagy) in amphibians and reptiles. J. Herpetol. 27, 219–228 (1993).
- Toledo, R. C. & Jared, C. Cutaneous adaptations to water balance in amphibians. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 105, 593–608 (1993).
- Toledo, R. C. & Jared, C. Cutaneous granular glands and amphibian venoms. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 111, 1–29 (1995).

- Kupfer, A., Nabhitabhata, J. & Himstedt, W. Reproductive ecology of female caecilian amphibians (genus *lchthyophis*): a baseline study. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 83, 207–217 (2004).
- Wilkinson, M., Loader, S. P., Gower, D. J., Sheps, J. A. & Cohen, B. L. Phylogenetic relationships of African caecilians (Amphibia: Gymnophiona): insights from mitochondrial rRNA gene sequences. *Afr. J. Herpetol.* 52, 83–92 (2003).
- San Mauro, D., Vences, M., Alcobendas, M., Zardoya, R. & Meyer, A. Initial diversification of living amphibians predated the breakup of Pangaea. *Am. Nat.* 65, 590–599 (2005).
- O'Reilly, J. C., Fenolio, D., Rania, L. C. & Wilkinson, M. Altriciality and extended parental care in the West African caecilian *Geotrypetes seraphini* (Gymnophiona: Caeciliidae). *Am. Zool.* 38, 187A (1998).
- Loader, S. P., Wilkinson, M., Gower, D. J. & Msuya, C. A. A remarkable young *Scolecomorphus vittatus* (Amphibia: Gymnophiona: Scolecomorphidae) from the North Pare Mountains, Tanzania. J. Zool. (Lond.) 259, 93–101 (2003).
- Haddad, C. F. B. & Prado, C. P. A. Reproductive modes in frogs and their unexpected diversity in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. *Bioscience* 55, 207–217 (2005).
- Stuart, S. N. et al. Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. Science 306, 1783–1786 (2004).
- Gower, D. J. & Wilkinson, M. Conservation biology of caecilian amphibians: a review. Conserv. Biol. 19, 45–55 (2005).

28. Romeis, B. Mikroskopische Technik (Urban, München, 1989).

Supplementary Information is linked to the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.

Acknowledgements We thank D. Rotich, A. H. Jama and J. Western for arranging collection and export permits; A. Espira, J. Kibirisho and P. W. Kibirisho, J. W. Maghanga, A. Mschimba, D. Mwaghania and B. Mwakina for access to their land and for help in performing fieldwork; A. Ball, E. B. Morello, J. Newberry and B. Williamson for help with the SEM preparations; D. Cooper for preparing some histological sections; T. Vinhas for help with processing video footage; B. Bwong, P. K. Malonza and G. J. Measey for logistic support; and R. Britz, J. J. Day, D. J. Gower, S. Mohun, L. Rüber and E. Valk for improving earlier versions of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, by the Natural Environment Research Council, and by a Marie Curie Fellowship.

Author Information Reprints and permissions information is available at npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.W. (mw@bmnh.org).

Copyright of Nature is the property of Nature Publishing Group and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.