

## LITERATURE CITED

- BALÁŽ, V., A. BALÁŽOVÁ, AND J. HALEŠ. 2009. Epidemická nemoc obojživelníků už i v ČR! In J. Bryja, Z. Řehák, and J. Zukal (eds.), *Zoologické dny Brno 2009. Sborník abstraktů z konference 12.–13. února 2009*, p. 55. Institute of Vertebrate Biology of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Brno, Czech Republic.
- BERGER, L., R. SPEARE, P. DASZAK, D. E. GREEN, A. A. CUNNINGHAM, C. L. GOGGIN, R. SLOCOMBE, M. A. RAGAN, A. D. HYATT, K. R. McDONALD, H. B. HINES, K. R. LIPS, G. MARANTELLI, AND H. PARKES. 1998. Chytridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality associated with population declines in the rain forests of Australia and Central America. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95:9031–9036.
- BOYLE, D. G., D. B. BOYLE, V. OLSEN, J. A. MORGAN, AND A. D. HYATT. 2004. Rapid quantitative detection of chytridiomycosis (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) in amphibian samples using real-time Taqman PCR assay. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 60:141–148.
- CIVIŠ, P., J. VOJAR, AND V. BALÁŽ. 2010. Chytridiomykóza, hrozba pro naše obojživelníky? *Ochrana přírody* 65(4):18–20.
- FISHER, M. C., T. W. J. GARNER, AND S. F. WALKER. 2009. Global emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and amphibian chytridiomycosis in space, time, and host. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 63:291–310.
- GARLAND, S., A. BAKER, A. D. PHILLIOTT, AND L. F. SKERRATT. 2010. BSA reduces inhibition in a TaqMan® assay for the detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 92:113–116.
- GARNER, T. W. J., S. WALKER, J. BOSCH, A. D. HYATT, A. A. CUNNINGHAM, AND M. C. FISHER. 2005. Chytrid fungus in Europe. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 11(10):1639–1641.
- HYATT, A. D., D. G. BOYLE, V. OLSEN, D. B. BOYLE, L. BERGER, D. OBENDORF, A. DALTON, K. KRIGER, M. HERO, H. HINES, R. PHILLIOTT, R. CAMPBELL, G. MARANTELLI, F. GLEASON, AND A. COLLING. 2007. Diagnostic assays and sampling protocols for the detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 73:175–192.
- LONGCORE J. E., A. P. PESSIER, AND D. K. NICHOLS. 1999. *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* gen et sp. nov., a chytrid pathogen to amphibians. *Mycologia* 91:219–227.
- OHST, T., Y. GRÄSER, F. MUTSCHMANN, AND J. PLÖTNER. 2011. Neue Erkenntnisse zur Gefährdung europäischer Amphibien durch den Hautpilz *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *ZfH* 18:1–17.
- OUELLET, M., I. MIKAEILIAN, B. D. PAULI, J. RODRIGUE, AND D. M. GREEN. 2005. Historical evidence of widespread chytrid infection in North American amphibian populations. *Conserv. Biol.* 19:1431–1440.
- SURA, P., E. JANULIS, AND P. PROFUS. 2010. Chytridiomikoza – smiertelne zagrożenie dla płazów. *Chrońmy Przyr. Ojcz.* 66(6):406–421.
- SZTATECSNY, M., AND F. GLASER. 2011. From the eastern lowlands to the western mountains: first records of the chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in wild amphibian populations from Austria. *Herpetol. J.* 21:87–90.
- WELLINGTON, R., AND R. HAERING. 2008. Hygienic protocol for the control of disease in frogs. Information Circular Number 6. Department of Environment and Climate Change (NSW), Sydney South, Australia. 20 p.
- WOOD, L. R., R. A. GRIFFITHS, AND L. SCHLEY. 2009. Amphibian chytridiomycosis in Luxembourg. *Bull. Soc. Nat. Luxemb.* 110:109–114.

*Herpetological Review*, 2012, 43(1), 78–80.

© 2012 by Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles

## Survey of Ranavirus and the Fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in Frogs of Central Virginia, USA

The Global Amphibian Assessment found that 42% of amphibian populations are in decline, and 32% of species globally face extinction in the near future (IUCN 2008). Emerging infectious diseases, including the fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) and ranaviruses, have been responsible for mass die-offs and are considered major international threats (Daszak et al. 1999; Schloegel et al. 2010). Ranavirus has low host specificity; fish, reptiles and amphibians can be lethally or asymptotically infected and can serve as reservoirs for other vulnerable species (Chinchar 2002; Schock et al. 2008). Surveillance of these pathogens is important for understanding their distribution and potential threat to amphibians and other animals. We used non-destructive sampling to survey for *Bd* and ranavirus in central Virginia, USA. No amphibian die-offs had been recorded in this area, although dedicated monitoring had not previously occurred.

On eleven trips from 1 April through 2 July 2010 we swabbed adult animals and collected toe clips to assess the presence of

*Bd* and ranavirus, respectively, in four anuran species in three water bodies in Prince Edward County, Virginia: Briery Creek Lake in Briery Creek Wildlife Management Area (north end of the lake; 37.2005°N, 78.4497°W), and two ponds on the campus of Hampden-Sydney College (Chalgrove: 37.2428°N, 78.4639°W and Tadpole Hole; 37.2452°N, 78.4529°W). Chalgrove and Tadpole Hole are both approximately 1 ha and located 0.8 km apart. Briery Creek Lake is a 342-ha lake located 4.5 km south of the other ponds. We collected adult frogs by hand, typically between 1900–2300 h. Each frog was placed in a new plastic bag, and nitrile gloves were changed between catching individuals. While processing animals, we used a recommended protocol with two people to prevent contamination of samples (Brem et al. 2007). To sample for *Bd*, frogs were swabbed five times with sterile cotton-tipped applicators on both sides of the abdomen, ventral abdomen, ventral surface of thighs, and rear feet (Brem et al. 2007). To sample for ranavirus, the front-right toes of large species (*Lithobates catesbeianus*, *L. palustris*, *Anaxyrus fowleri*) or 1–2 hind-right toes of small frogs (*Pseudacris crucifer*, *Acris crepitans*) were collected using sterile surgical blades (St-Amour and Lesbarrères 2007). Both swab tips and tissue samples were preserved in 70% ethanol. All animals were released within 1–3 h at the original site of capture. To prevent cross-contamination between sites, all supplies and equipment were disinfected with 1% Nolvasan. Although Nolvasan has not been tested against *Bd*, it is used as a fungicide, bactericide, and virucide, has

RACHEL M. GOODMAN\*

YONATHAN TAREKEGNE ARARSO

Biology Department, Box 74, Hampden-Sydney College,  
Hampden-Sydney, Virginia 23943, USA

\*Corresponding author; e-mail: rgoodman@hsc.edu

been proven to inactivate ranavirus at levels that are not toxic to amphibians, and is less caustic to equipment than bleach (Bryan et al. 2009; Kennedy et al. 2000). All materials that directly contacted animals (gloves, bags, scalpel blades, swabs) were used only once and contacted no more than one individual.

Of the 140 frogs processed, 103 were tested for ranavirus and *Bd*. Only species within a site that had ≥14 individuals were tested, because of the likelihood of misclassifying as uninfected sites with small sample sizes and low prevalence (Greer and Collins 2007). Disease testing was performed by the Veterinary Diagnostic and Investigational Laboratory in the College of Veterinary Medicine at University of Georgia. In brief, genomic DNA was extracted from toes following the tissue method of a commercially available kit (DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit, Qiagen Inc., Valencia, California, USA). Conventional PCR was then performed using the protocol and primer sets reported by Annis et al. (2004) for *Bd* and those found in Mao et al. (1996, 1997; primers MCP4 and MCP5) for ranavirus. The PCR products were resolved via electrophoresis on a 1.0% agarose gel. Controls for all PCR runs included two negative controls (water and tissue from a ranavirus-negative tadpole) and two positive controls (cultured ranavirus and tissue from an experimentally infected and confirmed ranavirus-positive tadpole). The PCR reactions were repeated once to confirm results.

*Bd* was found in three of the five species tested, and at each of the three water bodies (Table 1). Among species with positives in a site, prevalence of the pathogen ranged from 6–20% (Table 1). No obvious pathological signs or dead or moribund animals were observed during the course of this study.

While ranavirus has been detected in a number of amphibian species sampled in the southeastern United States, including species in the current study: Bullfrogs (*Lithobates catesbeianus*), Cricket Frogs (*Acris crepitans*), Pickerel Frogs (*L. palustris*), Spring Peepers (*Pseudacris crucifer*), and Wood Frogs (*L. sylvaticus*) (Gray et al. 2009), none of the toe clips from the 103 frogs screened tested positive for ranavirus. Ranavirus was, however, detected in syntopic aquatic turtles, with prevalence ranging from 5–31.6% at these three sites, in a companion study using similar sample sizes and distal tissues (Goodman et al., unpubl.), which raises the question of whether we missed infections in the anuran populations.

We are confident that we did not miss infections in the animals we screened. St-Amour and Lesbarrères (2007) found that toe tips were comparable to liver tissue samples in detecting ranavirus in Green Frogs (*L. clamitans*), and although Greer and Collins (2007) demonstrated that tail clips were less sensitive than pulverized whole body samples in detecting ranavirus in salamanders, this difference disappeared after the first week post exposure. Our sample sizes were small within species at each site. However, combining species yields samples of 34 individuals at Briery, 33 at Chalgrove, and 36 at Tadpole Hole, which are sample sizes that should (with 95% confidence) be able to detect ranavirus prevalence of 10% or greater in a site (Brem et al. 2007). Importantly, we did not sample all species in each site, nor did we sample all life stages for any species. Thus if ranavirus was present, it was at likely at low prevalence, in an alternate life stage, or in another host species. Expanded monitoring is needed to establish whether ranavirus infects frogs at these sites.

*Bd* occurred with low prevalence in *P. crucifer*, *L. palustris*, and *A. crepitans*, but was not detected in *L. catesbeianus* or *A. fowleri* (each collected in one site). Larger sample sizes would be needed to rule out the possibility of infection in these species, especially

TABLE 1. Prevalence (number positive/number tested) and associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (*Bd*) occurrence in frogs from three water bodies in central Virginia. Dashes indicate that small sample sizes precluded testing for that species and location. The 95% confidence intervals with no continuity correction were calculated using a program by Lowry (2011) based on methods in Newcombe (1998) and Wilson (1927).

Study Site	<i>Lithobates catesbeianus</i>		<i>Pseudacris crucifer</i>		<i>Anaxyrus fowleri</i>		<i>Lithobates palustris</i>		<i>Acris crepitans</i>		Site total	
	Prev	CI	Prev	CI	Prev	CI	Prev	CI	Prev	CI	Prev	CI
Briery WMA	0% (0/20)	0.0–16.1%	14.3% (2/14)	4.0–40.0%	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.9% (2/34)	1.6–19.1%
Chalgrove	—	—	—	—	0% (0/15)	0.0–20.4%	5.6% (1/18)	1.0–25.8%	—	—	3.0% (1/33)	0.5–15.3%
Tadpole Hole	—	—	20.0% (3/15)	7.0–45.2%	—	—	—	—	9.5% (2/21)	2.7–28.9%	13.9% (5/36)	6.1–28.7%
Species Total	0% (0/20)	0.0–16.1%	17.2% (5/29)	7.6–34.6%	0% (0/15)	0.0–20.4%	5.6% (1/18)	1.0–25.8%	9.5% (2/21)	2.7–28.9%	—	—

because of the low prevalence of the pathogen estimated for co-occurring species. *Lithobates catesbeianus* has been shown to carry *Bd* but experience low morbidity and mortality due to infection (Daszak et al. 2004) and so it is surprising that infections were not detected. *Anaxyrus* species are also susceptible to *Bd*, though some studies have found absence or low prevalence in sites where other species tested positive (Rothermel et al. 2008; Tupper et al. 2011; Venesky et al. 2011). The current study adds to a body of research showing presence of the fungus *Bd* in frog populations that are seemingly asymptomatic. However, dedicated surveillance would be necessary to determine the potential impacts of *Bd* on local amphibian health and fitness.

**Acknowledgments.**— We are grateful to Hampden-Sydney College, the Biology Department, and the Honors Program for providing funding and support for this research. All work in this study was approved by the Hampden-Sydney College Animal Care and Use Committee and performed under scientific collection permit 38354 from Virginia Department of Game & Inland Fisheries. We thank Briery Creek Wildlife Management for permitting us to work on the site, Debra Miller and Lisa Whittington for conducting laboratory tests at the University of Georgia, Matthew Gray for assistance in setting up this surveillance project, and Zach Harrelson, Allen Luck, Sam Smith, and Erica Rutherford for assistance in the field. Debra Miller also provided many helpful comments on this manuscript.

## LITERATURE CITED

- ANNIS, S. L., F. P. DASTOOR, H. ZIEL, P. DASZAK, AND J. E. LONGCORE. 2004. A DNA-based assay identifies *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in amphibians. *J. Wildl. Dis.* 40:420–428.
- BREM, E., J. R. MENDELSON III, AND K. R. LIPS. 2007. Field-sampling protocol for *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* from living amphibians, using alcohol preserved swabs. Conservation International, Arlington, Virginia, version 1.0. <http://www.amphibians.org>.
- BRYAN, L. K., C. A. BALDWIN, M. J. GRAY, AND D. L. MILLER. 2009. Efficacy of select disinfectants at inactivating ranavirus. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 84:89–94.
- CHINCHAR, V. G. 2002. Ranaviruses (family Iridoviridae): emerging cold-blooded killers. *Arch. Virol.* 147:447–470.
- DASZAK, P., L. BERGER, A. A. CUNNINGHAM, A. D. HYATT, D. E. GREEN, AND R. SPEARE. 1999. Emerging infectious diseases and amphibian population declines. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 5:735–748.
- , A. STRIEBY, A. A. CUNNINGHAM, J. E. LONGCORE, C. C. BROWN, AND D. PORTER. 2004. Experimental evidence that the bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*) is a potential carrier of chytridiomycosis, an emerging fungal disease of amphibians. *Herpetol. J.* 14:201–207.
- DUFFUS, A. 2009. Chytrid blinders: what other disease risks to amphibians are we missing? *EcoHealth* 6:335–339.
- GRAY, M. J., J. T. HOVERMAN, AND D. L. MILLER. 2009. Amphibian ranaviruses in the southeastern United States. Southeastern Partners in Amphibian and Reptile Conservation, Disease, Pathogens and Parasites Task Team, Information Sheet #1. <http://www.uga.edu/separc/TaskTeams/DiseasesParasites/SEPARCRanavirusesFinal.pdf>.
- , D. L. MILLER, AND J. T. HOVERMAN. 2009. Ecology and pathology of amphibian ranaviruses. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 87:245–266.
- GREER, A. L., AND J. P. COLLINS. 2007. Sensitivity of a diagnostic test for amphibian ranavirus varies with sampling protocol. *J. Wildl. Dis.* 43:525–532.
- IUCN (WORLD CONSERVATION UNION), CONSERVATION INTERNATIONAL, AND NATURESERVE. 2008. Global Amphibian Assessment. <http://www.globalamphibians.org>.
- KENNEDY, J., J. BEK, AND D. GRIFFIN. 2000. Selection and use of disinfectants. University of Nebraska–Lincoln Extension educational publication G1410. <http://www.ianrpubs.unl.edu/epublic/archive/g1410/build/g1410.pdf>.
- LOWRY, R. 2011. VassarStats: Website for Statistical Computation. <http://faculty.vassar.edu/lowry/VassarStats.html>.
- MAO, J., R. P. HEDRICK, AND V. G. CHINCHAR. 1997. Molecular characterization, sequence analysis, and taxonomic position of newly isolated fish iridoviruses. *Virology* 229:212–220.
- , T. N. THAM, G. A. GENTRY, A. AUBERTIN, AND V. G. CHINCHAR. 1996. Cloning, sequence analysis, and expression of the major capsid protein of the iridovirus Frog Virus 3. *Virology* 216:431–436.
- NEWCOMBE, R. G. 1998. Two-sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: comparison of seven methods. *Stat. Med.* 17:857–872.
- ROTHERMEL, B. B., S. C. WALLS, J. C. MITCHELL, C. K. DODD, L. K. IRWIN, D. E. GREEN, V. M. VAZQUEZ, J. W. PETRANKA, AND D. J. STEVENSON. 2008. Widespread occurrence of the amphibian chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the southeastern USA. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 28:3–18.
- SCHLOEGEL, L. M., P. DASZAK, A. A. CUNNINGHAM, R. SPEARE, AND B. HILL. 2010. Two amphibian diseases, chytridiomycosis and ranaviral disease, are now globally notifiable to the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE): an assessment. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 92:101–108.
- SCHOCK, D. M., T. K. BOLLINGER, V. G. CHINCHAR, J. K. JANCOVICH, AND J. P. COLLINS. 2008. Experimental evidence that amphibian ranaviruses are multi-host pathogens. *Copeia* 2008:133–143.
- ST-AMOUR, V., AND D. LESBARRÈRES. 2007. Genetic evidence of ranavirus in toe clips: an alternative to lethal sampling methods. *Conserv. Genet.* 8:1247–1250.
- TUPPER, T. A., J. W. STREICHER, S. E. GREENSPAN, B. C. TIMM, AND R. P. COOK. 2011. Detection of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in anurans of Cape Cod National Seashore, Barnstable County, Massachusetts, USA. *Herpetol. Rev.* 42:62–65.
- VENESKY, M. D., J. L. KERBY, A. STORFER, AND M. J. PARRIS. 2011. Can differences in host behavior drive patterns of disease prevalence in tadpoles? *PLoS ONE* 6:e24991.
- WILSON, E. B. 1927. Probable inference, the law of succession, and statistical inference. *J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.* 22:209–212.